

Discrete Mathematics

Yi Li

Software School
Fudan University

March 17, 2014

Review

- Introduction
- Tree
- König lemma

Outline

- Propositions
- Truth table
- Adequacy

Example

Consider the following statements:

Example

Consider the following statements:

- 1 I am a student.

Example

Consider the following statements:

- 1 I am a student.
- 2 I am not a student.

Example

Consider the following statements:

- 1 I am a student.
- 2 I am not a student.
- 3 I am a student and I study computer science.

Example

Consider the following statements:

- 1 I am a student.
- 2 I am not a student.
- 3 I am a student and I study computer science.
- 4 I am a boy or I am a girl.

Example

Consider the following statements:

- 1 I am a student.
- 2 I am not a student.
- 3 I am a student and I study computer science.
- 4 I am a boy or I am a girl.
- 5 If I am a student, I have a class in a week.

Example

Consider the following statements:

- 1 I am a student.
- 2 I am not a student.
- 3 I am a student and I study computer science.
- 4 I am a boy or I am a girl.
- 5 If I am a student, I have a class in a week.
- 6 I am student if and only if I am a member of some university.

Sentences

We don't care about the following:

- Are you a student?

Sentences

We don't care about the following:

- Are you a student?
- Sit down please.

Sentences

We don't care about the following:

- Are you a student?
- Sit down please.
- What are you doing?

Connectives

A summary of connectives:

Symbol	Verbose name	Remark
\vee	disjunction	or
\wedge	conjunction	and
\neg	negation	not
\rightarrow	conditional	if ..., then ...
\leftrightarrow	biconditional	if and only if

Language

- Symbols of propositional logic:

Language

- Symbols of propositional logic:
 - ① Connectives: $\vee, \wedge, \neg, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow$

- Symbols of propositional logic:
 - 1 Connectives: $\vee, \wedge, \neg, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow$
 - 2 Parentheses: $), ($

- Symbols of propositional logic:
 - 1 Connectives: $\vee, \wedge, \neg, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow$
 - 2 Parentheses: $), ($
 - 3 Propositional Letters: $A, A_1, A_2, \dots, B, B_1, B_2, \dots$

Language

- Symbols of propositional logic:
 - ① Connectives: $\vee, \wedge, \neg, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow$
 - ② Parentheses: $), ($
 - ③ Propositional Letters: $A, A_1, A_2, \dots, B, B_1, B_2, \dots$
- A propositional letter is the most elementary object.

Propositions

Definition (Proposition)

Definition (Proposition)

- 1 Propositional letters are propositions.

Definition (Proposition)

- 1 Propositional letters are propositions.
- 2 if α and β are propositions, then $(\alpha \vee \beta)$, $(\alpha \wedge \beta)$, $(\neg\alpha)$, $(\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$ *and* $(\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta)$ are propositions.

Definition (Proposition)

- 1 Propositional letters are propositions.
- 2 if α and β are propositions, then $(\alpha \vee \beta)$, $(\alpha \wedge \beta)$, $(\neg\alpha)$, $(\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$ and $(\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta)$ are propositions.
- 3 A string of symbols is a proposition if and only if it can be obtained by starting with propositional letters (1) and repeatedly applying (2).

Propositions

Definition

The proposition constructed according to the definition of Proposition is *well-defined* or *well-formed*.

Propositions

Definition

The proposition constructed according to the definition of Proposition is *well-defined* or *well-formed*.

Example

Check the following strings:

Propositions

Definition

The proposition constructed according to the definition of Proposition is *well-defined* or *well-formed*.

Example

Check the following strings:

① $(A \vee B), ((A \wedge B) \rightarrow C) .$

Propositions

Definition

The proposition constructed according to the definition of Proposition is *well-defined* or *well-formed*.

Example

Check the following strings:

- 1 $(A \vee B), ((A \wedge B) \rightarrow C) .$
- 2 $A \vee \neg, (A \wedge B$

Truth Tables

α	β	$\alpha \vee \beta$
T	T	T
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	F

α	β	$\alpha \wedge \beta$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	F

α	β	$\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	T

α	$\neg \alpha$
T	F
F	T

Truth Tables

α	β	$\alpha \rightarrow \beta$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

Truth Tables

Why do we let $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ true when α is false?

Example

Consider the proposition, if $n > 2$, then $n^2 > 4$.

Truth Tables

Why do we let $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ true when α is false?

Example

Consider the proposition, if $n > 2$, then $n^2 > 4$.

Solution.

We first all know that the statement is correct. Let $n = 3, 1, -3$. Consider the truth of the statement:



Truth Tables

Why do we let $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ true when α is false?

Example

Consider the proposition, if $n > 2$, then $n^2 > 4$.

Solution.

We first all know that the statement is correct. Let $n = 3, 1, -3$. Consider the truth of the statement:

① $n = 3$, true and true.



Truth Tables

Why do we let $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ true when α is false?

Example

Consider the proposition, if $n > 2$, then $n^2 > 4$.

Solution.

We first all know that the statement is correct. Let $n = 3, 1, -3$. Consider the truth of the statement:

- 1 $n = 3$, true and true.
- 2 $n = 1$, false and false.



Truth Tables

Why do we let $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ true when α is false?

Example

Consider the proposition, if $n > 2$, then $n^2 > 4$.

Solution.

We first all know that the statement is correct. Let $n = 3, 1, -3$. Consider the truth of the statement:

- 1 $n = 3$, true and true.
- 2 $n = 1$, false and false.
- 3 $n = -3$, false and true.



Truth Tables

Example

Figure out what would happen if man can fly like a bird!

Definition (Truth functional)

An n -ary connective is *truth functional* if the truth value for $\sigma(A_1, \dots, A_n)$ is uniquely determined by the truth value of A_1, \dots, A_n .

Definition (Boolean function)

A k -place *Boolean function* is a function from $\{F, T\}^k$ to $\{T, F\}$. We let F and T themselves to be 0-place Boolean functions.

Connectives

Definition (Boolean function)

A k -place *Boolean function* is a function from $\{F, T\}^k$ to $\{T, F\}$. We let F and T themselves to be 0-place Boolean functions.

Example

x_1	x_2	$x_1 \rightarrow x_2$	$f_{\rightarrow}(x_1, x_2)$
T	T	T	$f_{\rightarrow}(T, T) = T$
T	F	F	$f_{\rightarrow}(T, F) = F$
F	T	T	$f_{\rightarrow}(F, T) = T$
F	F	T	$f_{\rightarrow}(F, F) = T$

Connectives

There are many connectives.

① 0-ary connectives: T and F .

Connectives

There are many connectives.

- 1 0-ary connectives: T and F .
- 2 Unary connectives: \neg , I , T and F .

Where $I_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = x_i$, which is a projection function of i -th parameter.

Connectives

There are many connectives.

- 1 0-ary connectives: T and F .
- 2 Unary connectives: \neg , I , T and F .
- 3 Binary connectives: 10 of 16 are real binary functions.

Where $I_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = x_i$, which is a projection function of i -th parameter.

Connectives

There are many connectives.

- 1 0-ary connectives: T and F .
- 2 Unary connectives: \neg , I , T and F .
- 3 Binary connectives: 10 of 16 are real binary functions.

Where $I_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = x_i$, which is a projection function of i -th parameter.

how many n -place Boolean functions are there?

Adequacy

For each n distinct letters, there are totally 2^{2^n} n -place booleann functions.

Adequacy

For each n distinct letters, there are totally 2^{2^n} n -place booleann functions.

Definition (Adequate connectives)

A set S of truth functional connectives is *adequate* if, given any truth function connective σ , we can find a proposition built up from the connectives in S with the same abbreviated truth table as σ .

Adequacy

Theorem (Adequacy)

$\{\neg, \vee, \wedge\}$ is *adequate*(complete).

Adequacy

Theorem (Adequacy)

$\{\neg, \vee, \wedge\}$ is adequate (complete).

Proof.

Construct the truth table of any connective $\sigma(A_1, \dots, A_k)$. □

Adequacy

Corollary

$\{\neg, \vee\}$ is adequate.

Normal Form

Definition (DNF)

α is called *disjunctive normal form* (abbreviated DNF). If α is a disjunction

$$\alpha = \gamma_1 \vee \cdots \vee \gamma_k,$$

where each γ_i is a conjunction

$$\gamma_i = \beta_{i1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \beta_{in_i}$$

and each β_{ij} is a proposition letter or the negation of a proposition letter.

Normal Form

Example

$\alpha = (A_1 \wedge A_2 \wedge A_3) \vee (\neg B_1 \wedge B_2) \vee (\neg C_1 \wedge \neg C_2 \wedge \neg C_3)$ is a DNF.

Normal Form

Definition (CNF)

α is called *conjunctive normal form* (abbreviated CNF).
If α is a conjunction

$$\alpha = \gamma_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \gamma_k,$$

where each γ_i is a disjunction

$$\gamma_i = \beta_{i1} \vee \cdots \vee \beta_{in_i}$$

and each β_{ij} is a proposition letter or the negation of a proposition letter.

Normal Form

Example

$\alpha = (A_1 \vee A_2 \vee A_3) \wedge (\neg B_1 \vee B_2) \wedge (\neg C_1 \vee \neg C_2 \vee \neg C_3)$ is a CNF.

Normal Form

Theorem

Any proposition can be reformed as a DNF and a CNF.

How?

Normal Form

Theorem

Any proposition can be reformed as a DNF and a CNF.

How?

Proof.

According to adequacy theorem.

Next Class

- Formation tree
- Proposition parsing